



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2019

---

## **Crab-fishing by chimpanzees in the Nimba Mountains, Guinea**

Koops, Kathelijne ; Wrangham, Richard W ; Cumberlidge, Neil ; Fitzgerald, Maegan A ; van Leeuwen, Kelly L ; Rothman, Jessica M ; Matsuzawa, Tetsuro

**Abstract:** The significance of aquatic food resources for hominins is poorly understood, despite evidence of consumption as early as 1.95 million years ago (Ma). Here we present the first evidence of a non-human ape habitually catching and consuming aquatic crabs. Chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) in the rainforest of the Nimba Mountains (Guinea) consumed freshwater crabs year-round, irrespective of rainfall or ripe fruit availability. Parties of females and offspring fished for crabs more than predicted and for longer durations than adult males. Across months, crab-fishing was negatively correlated with ant-dipping, suggesting a similar nutritional role. These findings contribute to our understanding of aquatic faunivory among hominins. First, aquatic faunivory can occur in closed forests in addition to open wetlands. Second, aquatic fauna could have been a staple part of some hominin diets, rather than merely a fallback food. Third, the habitual consumption of aquatic fauna could have been especially important for females and their immature offspring. In addition to providing small amounts of essential fatty acids, crabs might also be eaten for their micronutrients such as sodium and calcium, especially by females and young individuals who may have limited access to meat.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2019.05.002>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-183125>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Koops, Kathelijne; Wrangham, Richard W; Cumberlidge, Neil; Fitzgerald, Maegan A; van Leeuwen, Kelly L; Rothman, Jessica M; Matsuzawa, Tetsuro (2019). Crab-fishing by chimpanzees in the Nimba Mountains, Guinea. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 133:230-241.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2019.05.002>

1       **Crab-fishing by chimpanzees in the Nimba Mountains, Guinea**

2

3   Kathelijne Koops<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Richard W. Wrangham<sup>3</sup>, Neil Cumberlidge<sup>4</sup>, Maegan A.  
4   Fitzgerald<sup>5</sup>, Kelly L. van Leeuwen<sup>6</sup>, Jessica M. Rothman<sup>7</sup>, and Tetsuro Matsuzawa<sup>8</sup>

5

6   <sup>1</sup> Anthropological Institute and Museum, University of Zurich, Switzerland

7   <sup>2</sup> Department of Archaeology, University of Cambridge, UK

8   <sup>3</sup> Department of Human Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, USA

9   <sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, Northern Michigan University, USA

10   <sup>5</sup> Wildlife Research Center, Kyoto University, Japan

11   <sup>6</sup> Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Bournemouth University, UK

12   <sup>7</sup> Department of Anthropology, Hunter College of the City University of New York,  
13   USA

14   <sup>8</sup> Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University, Japan

15

16   **\* Corresponding author**

17   Dr. Kathelijne Koops

18   Anthropological Institute & Museum

19   University of Zurich

20   Winterthurerstrasse 190

21   8057 Zürich

22   Switzerland

23   Email: [kathelijne.koops@uzh.ch](mailto:kathelijne.koops@uzh.ch)

24   Phone: +41 (0) 78 874 35 02

25

## ABSTRACT

The significance of aquatic food resources for hominins is poorly understood, despite evidence of consumption as early as 1.95 million years ago (Ma). Here we present the first evidence of a non-human ape habitually catching and consuming aquatic crabs. Chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) in the rainforest of the Nimba Mountains (Guinea) consumed freshwater crabs year-round, irrespective of rainfall or ripe fruit availability. Parties of females and offspring fished for crabs more than predicted and for longer durations than adult males. Across months, crab-fishing was negatively correlated with ant-dipping, suggesting a similar nutritional role. These findings contribute to our understanding of aquatic faunivory among hominins. First, aquatic faunivory can occur in closed forests in addition to open wetlands. Second, aquatic fauna could have been a staple part of some hominin diets, rather than merely a fallback food. Third, the habitual consumption of aquatic fauna could have been especially important for females and their immature offspring. In addition to providing small amounts of essential fatty acids, crabs might also be eaten for their micronutrients such as sodium and calcium, especially by females and young individuals who may have limited access to meat.

**Keywords:** chimpanzee; aquatic faunivory; hominin evolution; freshwater crabs; Crustacea; Arthropoda

## 1. Introduction

Recent research suggests that aquatic resources were sometimes an important component of hominin diets (Braun et al., 2010; Archer et al., 2014). The earliest evidence of aquatic faunivory by hominins dates to the early Pleistocene (1.95 Ma) at

Koobi Fora, northern Kenya, and includes the consumption of turtles, crocodiles and fish (Stewart, 1994; Braun et al., 2010). It has been argued that regular consumption of aquatic fauna may have facilitated the initial onset of hominin brain growth in early *Homo* approximately 2 Ma (Joordens et al., 2014). Aquatic fauna would have provided essential long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), including docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), which are required for optimal brain growth and function (Stewart, 2010; Joordens et al., 2014; but see Carlson and Kingston 2007). Furthermore, it has been proposed that hominins living in wetland habitats relied on aquatic resources as a seasonal fallback food at times of terrestrial food scarcity (Stewart, 1994, 2010; Archer et al., 2014).

Despite the potentially important impact that aquatic resource consumption might have had for hominins, little is known about the environmental conditions and demographic factors that could have influenced consumption of aquatic fauna. Here we report the first evidence of aquatic faunivory by chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). The last common ancestor of *Pan* and *Homo* dates to approximately 6 – 8 Ma (Langergraber et al., 2012; Steiper and Seiffert, 2012; Amster and Sella, 2016), and was probably chimpanzee-like (Pilbeam and Lieberman, 2017; cf. Lovejoy et al., 2009). Thus, extant *Pan* are a possible proxy to gain new insights into the aquatic foraging strategies of hominins (Stewart, 2010). Our findings likely have more bearing on earlier hominins (e.g. *Ardipithecus* spp.) than on later taxa (e.g. *Homo* spp.), considering the greater similarities in brain size and habitat type between chimpanzees and earlier hominins (e.g. Robson and Wood, 2008; White et al., 2009).

Evidence for the consumption of aquatic fauna by non-human primates is sparse. In the wild, 20 primate species consume (some) aquatic fauna (Kempf, 2009; Russon et al., 2014). Most cases of aquatic faunivory are site-specific and likely

influenced by human activities (Russon et al., 2014), with the notable exception of tool-assisted foraging on aquatic fauna by long-tailed macaques (*Macaca fascicularis aurea*) in Thailand (Gumert and Malaivijitnond, 2012). Among the great apes in particular, evidence for the consumption of aquatic fauna is rare and no previous evidence exists for chimpanzees (Russon et al., 2014). By contrast, chimpanzees are well known for consuming aquatic flora, such as the algae obtained from ponds (Nishida, 1980; Sakamaki, 1998), sometimes with the use of tools (Matsuzawa et al., 1996; Devos et al., 2002; Boesch et al., 2017).

We found that chimpanzees (*P. t. verus*) at the Seringbara study site in the Nimba Mountains of Guinea, West Africa, regularly consumed freshwater decapod crustaceans, which we term ‘crab-fishing’. The chimpanzees searched for crabs in shallow, permanent, watercourses in this mountainous rainforest site by using their fingers to scrape and disturb the stream-bed (Fig. 2; Supplemental Online Material (SOM) Videos 1, 2, 3).

To address dietary function, we report the nutritional value of freshwater crabs, and assess the relationship between crab-fishing and the availability of ripe fruits and ants, which are two important components of the chimpanzee diet at this site. Ripe fruit is the food most frequently eaten by chimpanzees, although their diet is best described as omnivorous (Wrangham et al., 1998). At Seringbara, the insects most commonly eaten by chimpanzees are army ants (*Dorylus* spp.), whereas termite consumption is absent (Koops et al., 2013; Koops et al., 2015). We compare the energy content and nutrient concentrations of crabs with those of army ants in order to assess the relative dietary importance of crabs and insect prey at Nimba. In addition, we investigate variation among sex and age classes in the frequency and duration of crab-fishing, as well as in the catch rates of crabs.

To suggest insights into what may have driven the consumption of aquatic fauna among hominins, our study thus addresses questions regarding the environmental context, demography, and dietary function of aquatic faunivory by chimpanzees.

## **2. Methods**

### *2.1 Study site*

The Seringbara study site (N 07.37°; W 08.28°) is located in the Nimba Mountains in the southeastern part of the Republic of Guinea, West Africa. The site covers about 25 km<sup>2</sup> of steep hills and valleys located 6 km from Bossou, where a small community of chimpanzees has been studied for over 30 years (Matsuzawa et al., 2011). The Seringbara chimpanzees range between approximately 600 - 1400 m altitude (Koops, unpublished data). The Nimba region has been surveyed intermittently since 1992 (Matsuzawa and Yamakoshi, 1996; Shimada, 2000; Humle and Matsuzawa, 2001). The Nimba Mountains show great topographical diversity, ranging from rocky peaks and high altitude meadows to deep valleys and rounded hilltops. The steep hills are covered by dense primary tropical forest interspersed with forested valleys. Numerous constant, fast-flowing watercourses cut through the forest, making the Nimba Mountains an important water catchment area (World Conservation Monitoring Centre, 1992). The climate is characterized by a rainy season starting in February/March and ending in November/December and a 3-month dry season (i.e. monthly rainfall <70 mm). Since 2003, researchers and field assistants have maintained a near-constant presence at the study site, except for a break during an Ebola epidemic (2014 – 2018). The Seringbara chimpanzees remain largely unhabituated to human observers. The extremely mountainous terrain makes habituation of these chimpanzees very difficult. The study site has at least two chimpanzee communities, based on repeated direct observations

and motion-triggered camera images of known individuals (Koops, personal observation) and genetic evidence (Koops et al., 2012). For more information on the Seringbara study site, see Koops (2011).

## *2.2 Study period*

We collected crab-fishing data from February 2012 until April 2014. KK collected data with the help of local field assistants and an international team of research assistants.

## *2.3 Monitoring of crab sites and control sites*

We set up motion-triggered cameras (Bushnell Trophy Cam XLT 8MP Trail Camera) at all four crab-fishing sites discovered between February 2012 and May 2013 (Table 1, Fig. 1). All four crab-fishing sites were monitored continuously from the various times of their discovery until April 2014. The sites were characterized by freshly dug pools with traces of chimpanzee digging activity, such as finger marks and piles of dug out sand and stones. We placed two (opposite) cameras at each crab-fishing site to obtain maximum coverage. In addition, we monitored eight ‘control sites’ throughout the home range of the chimpanzees using one camera at each site (Fig. 1). Control sites were placed on randomly-selected chimpanzee trails with signs of active use (e.g. knuckle prints) and without any feeding trees, or other food sources, recorded nearby. Data on chimpanzee parties from control sites were used to compare party size and party type (i.e. female(s) only, female(s) and offspring, male(s) only, mixed sex) with crab-fishing sites. Control site data were collected between April 2011 – March 2014 (N = 1577 monitoring days, mean = 197 days per site) with 1 – 4 control site(s) monitored in each month (see SOM Table S1). Recent research has shown that targeted placement of cameras (e.g. on chimpanzee trails) increases detection probability and is

recommended in order to obtain data on party size and composition (Després-Einspenner et al., 2017).

Motion-triggered cameras provided information on the number of chimpanzee parties visiting, as well as the number of chimpanzee parties fishing for crabs (Table 1). In addition, we collected data on party size (excluding infants), party type, and the sex/age class of individuals visiting and fishing for crabs. Chimpanzees visiting the same site within 1 hour of each other were considered as part of the same party. The crab-fishing duration of a party was based on the start time of the first individual to begin crab-fishing and the end time of the last individual to finish crab-fishing. We determined catch rates for chimpanzees belonging to the different sex and age classes based on the number of hand-to-mouth movements followed by chewing (Fig. 2) per minute. Only videos with at least one minute of a continuous unobstructed view of both hands and mouth of a focal chimpanzee were included as a 'fishing session' in our analyses of catch rates.

#### *2.4 Fruit availability*

To monitor the temporal variation in ripe fruit availability, we set up two 500 m transects (N – S and E – W) on each of 4 – 6 hills and valleys (depending on the study period). From January – December 2012 the total transect length was 4 km. From January 2013 – March 2014 the total transect length was 6 km, as we added 2 km of additional transect length in January 2013. We located transects according to a stratified random design across the study site. We tagged and measured the DBH (diameter at breast height) of all trees and vines belonging to confirmed chimpanzee food species with a DBH  $\geq 10$  cm and with the trunk midpoint within 5 m to each side of the transect line. Chimpanzee food tree species were identified based on seeds and fruit remains



recorded in fecal samples (N = 1281) and on direct observations of chimpanzees feeding since 2003. Between January 2012 and April 2014, we noted the presence of ripe fruit during the first half of each month in each tagged tree or vine. Fruit was scored as: 0) Fruit absent; 1) 1-25% of canopy containing fruit; 2) 26-50%; 3) 51-75%; 4) 76-100%. We calculated a monthly fruit availability index (FAI) for chimpanzee food species (2012: N = 45, 2013 - 2014: N = 53). The FAI provides an estimate of habitat-wide ripe fruit abundance for chimpanzees (following Chapman et al., 1994) and is calculated with the following formula (sensu Hockings et al., 2010; Koops et al., 2013; Koops et al., 2015; Takemoto, 2004):

$$FAI = [ \sum (P_i \times F_i) / \sum (P_i \times 4) ] \times 100$$

where FAI is the fruit availability index (%), i.e. percentage of chimpanzee food trees (along the transects) providing food in a given month.  $P_i$  is the basal area ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) of each individual chimpanzee food tree 'i' (N = 576 trees in 2012, N = 800 trees in 2013 – 2014), calculated as  $P_i = [ 0.7854 \times \text{DBH}^2 ]$ .  $F_i$  is the fruiting score (scores: 0 – 4, as explained above) of each chimpanzee food tree. As such, the numerator of the FAI formula outlines the sum of chimpanzee food trees providing fruit in a given month, as measured by the basal area and fruiting score for each tree. The denominator specifies the maximum number of chimpanzee food trees that could be providing fruit in a given month, as measured by the basal area and maximum fruiting score (i.e. 4) for each tree.

## 2.5 Rainfall

Rainfall was recorded daily at 17.30h with a manual rain gauge at our base camp (Madei Camp), which is located at an altitude of 670 m.

## 2.6. Ant-dipping

To obtain information on the tool-assisted consumption of army ants (i.e. ant-dipping) by the chimpanzees, we collected fresh (<2 days old) fecal samples below recently occupied nests and along trails while tracking chimpanzees. We recorded a total of 889 fecal samples between March 2012 – April 2014 with on average 37 samples collected per month (range: 8 – 145 samples, median = 24, SEM = 6.9). We pre-soaked fecal samples in water, sieved them with a 1-mm mesh (sensu McGrew et al., 2009) and scored army ant remains as present or absent.

### *2.7 Crab availability plots*

We measured the availability of crabs by randomly placing 5 plots (1 m x 1 m) at each of the four crab sites (total plots: N = 20) in November 2013. We measured crab availability at the transition between wet and dry season in order to obtain a representative measure for the whole year. We established a protocol in which four people spent 5 minutes simultaneously at each plot searching and counting all crabs. We assigned the recorded crabs to three size classes (using a ruler): Small: <2 cm carapace length (CL), Medium: 2 – 5 cm CL, and Large: >5 cm CL.

### *2.8. Nutritional analyses*

A subset of crabs (N = 27 total, 42% of crabs recorded) from availability plots at the four sites (i.e. all crabs recorded at Sites 1, 3 and 4; N = 11, or 23% of crabs recorded at Site 2) were stored in 95%-ethanol at room temperature and kept for later nutritional analyses in the lab. We analyzed the samples at the Primate Nutritional Ecology Lab at Hunter College in New York, USA. First, ethanol was evaporated off of the samples, and the samples were dried in a desiccator at room temperature for 12 hours. They were then ground with a mortar and pestle. The crabs were then analyzed for their fat, chitin,

protein, energy and mineral concentrations similarly to the ants analyzed by Isbell et al. (2013). Crude fat was analyzed via ether extract using an ANKOM XT15 fat analyzer. Chitin, which comprises a major portion of the exoskeleton of invertebrates was estimated using acid detergent fiber (Finke, 2002; Bryer et al., 2015). Nitrogen concentrations (N) were analyzed via combustion with a nitrogen (N) analyzer (Leco TruSpec N). This total N was multiplied by 6.25 to estimate crude protein. Gross energy was estimated by using an IKA bomb calorimeter. Mineral concentrations were estimated by using an iCAP 700 Series ICP Spectrometer after ashing at 500 °C in a muffle furnace as in Rothman et al. (2006). Dry matter was calculated by drying a subsample of each sample of crabs in a 105 °C oven for 4 hours and estimating the atmospheric moisture lost during this process (Goering and Van Soest, 1970; Rothman et al., 2012). Aside from gross energy which is reported as kcal per 100 g of dry mass, nutrient estimates are reported as percentage of dry matter. We analyzed crude protein, crude fat and acid detergent fiber (ADF) for the three size classes separately (Table 2). We did not have adequate amounts of sample for the small size class to analyze gross energy separately, and for the small and medium size class to analyze mineral concentrations separately, since each analysis uses about 0.5 – 1.0 g of sample weight.

## *2.9. Ethics Statement*

This research was non-invasive, complied with the laws of Guinea, and was approved by the Direction General de la Recherche Scientifique et l'innovation Technologique (DGERSIT). Moreover, this research adhered to guidelines as set down by the Division of Biological Anthropology, University of Cambridge.

## *2.10. Data analyses*

We tested the data for normality using normal probability plots and a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (Field, 2013) and we used parametric and non-parametric tests accordingly. All analyses were performed two-tailed and significance levels were set at 0.05. We performed statistical tests in IBM SPSS version 21.0 To analyze the relationships between crab-fishing and rainfall, FAI, and ant-dipping (see below), we used chimpanzee visiting rate of crab-fishing sites (i.e. parties visiting per day per site, including parties without confirmed crab-fishing), rather than crab-fishing rates (i.e. parties visiting per day per site, only with confirmed crab-fishing), since monthly crab-fishing rates were not normally-distributed whereas monthly visiting rates were. Visiting rates and crab-fishing rates showed a strong positive correlation ( $r_s = 0.91$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ). We used a T-test to compare monthly visiting rates between the first and second monitoring year. After checking the assumptions (incl. multivariate normality), we used a multiple linear regression to investigate which predictors affect visiting rates of crab-fishing sites: rainfall, FAI, and/or ant-dipping (i.e. proportion of fecal samples containing army ant remains per month).

We used Mann-Whitney U tests to compare the size of crab-fishing parties and sex ratios to control site parties. We used Chi-square tests to compare the proportions of the different party types at crab-fishing and control sites. Subsequently, we determined the party type categories in which the proportions of parties differed from expected proportions, based on marginal totals (i.e. totals for each row and column) of the different party types. We inspected the adjusted residuals (adj. res.), which are approximately normally distributed. As there were four comparisons run, to minimize the risk of Type I errors, we controlled for multiple testing by means of the improved Bonferroni procedure (Hochberg, 1988). We used a Chi-square test, followed by inspection of adjusted residuals with Bonferroni correction (i.e. 4 comparisons), to

compare time spent crab-fishing across party types. We used Kruskal-Wallis tests to compare visit duration across party types and to compare catch rates and participation in crab-fishing across age/sex classes. ‘Participation’ in crab-fishing was calculated as the percentage of individuals (of a particular age/sex class) present in a party and also fishing for crabs. For example, if a crab-fishing party contained four adult males, and two adult males fished for crabs, the adult male participation for this party would be 50%. We used Mann-Whitney U tests with Bonferroni correction to determine which age/sex classes differed in crab-fishing participation and catch rates, and to compare catch rates between seasons. We used Kruskal-Wallis tests to compare catch rates and visiting rates across the four sites, followed by Mann-Whitney U tests with Bonferroni correction. Site 4 had only one catch rate value and was excluded from this analysis.

### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Discovery of crab-fishing*

On the 25<sup>th</sup> of February 2012, we encountered the first indirect evidence of chimpanzees feeding on freshwater crabs. After following fresh chimpanzee traces (i.e. knuckle prints, feces, and feeding remains) for about 45 min, we encountered a small creek with ‘pools’ of standing water. Traces of recent digging activity (i.e. finger marks and piles of dug out materials) indicated that the pools were freshly excavated. After setting up two motion-triggered cameras at this site (Site 1) on the 18<sup>th</sup> of March 2012, we captured the first footage of crab-fishing by chimpanzees on the 23<sup>rd</sup> of March 2012. We subsequently discovered three additional crab-fishing sites in June 2012, January 2013, and June 2013 (Table 1), and we monitored all four sites until April 2014. The four crab-fishing sites were located between 720 – 875 m altitude.

On the 4<sup>th</sup> of August 2012, we discovered fresh feeding remains at one of our monitored crab-fishing sites (Site 2, Fig. 3a). On the 18<sup>th</sup> of May 2013, we discovered and collected another set of fresh crab-feeding remains (Site 1, Fig. 3b). They were subsequently identified as belonging to two species of potamonautid freshwater crabs (the common creek crab, *Liberonautes latidactylus* (De Man, 1903), and the lobster-claw crab, *L. rubigimanus* (Cumberlidge and Sachs, 1989; Cumberlidge, 1999; Cumberlidge and Huguet, 2003)).

We monitored chimpanzee parties for a total of 71 site-months (Table 1). During this time 240 parties visited crab-fishing sites, and in 75.4% of these visits (N = 181) at least one member of the party was filmed attempting to fish for crabs by disturbing the shallow stream-bed with their fingers. This estimate of crab-fishing frequency is a minimum, because in the remaining 24.6% of visits (N = 59), there was either poor visibility (e.g. heavy rain, fogged-over camera lenses) or (some) individuals moved out of view of the cameras into positions where any crab-fishing could not be verified. Of the 181 crab-fishing parties, 177 parties had enough information on all the individuals present in the party to be used for further analyses on party characteristics (e.g. party size, party type).

Overall monthly visiting rates of the crab-fishing sites increased significantly (i.e. doubled) in the second as compared to the first monitoring year (0.07 vs. 0.14 parties/day/site, T-test: -2.946, P = 0.007). There was a suggestion of visiting rates differing across sites (Kruskal-Wallis test: N<sub>1</sub> = 25, N<sub>2</sub> = 21, N<sub>3</sub> = 14, N<sub>4</sub> = 10, H = 8.59, df = 3, P = 0.035), but Mann-Whitney U post-hoc comparisons failed to show significant differences.

### 3.2. Relationship of crab-fishing related to rainfall, fruit availability, and ant-dipping

In a multiple linear regression using rainfall, FAI, and ant-dipping frequency, the only significant predictor of visiting rate at crab-fishing sites was ant-dipping ( $R^2 = 0.193$ ,  $F_{1,21} = 5.011$ , standardized  $\beta = -0.439$ ,  $P = 0.036$ ). Chimpanzees visited crab-fishing sites more often when they dipped less for ants (Fig. 4).

### *3.3. Which parties (size, type) fish for crabs?*

The size of crab-fishing parties (mean = 3.2 individuals,  $N = 177$ ) did not differ significantly from parties at control sites (mean = 3.7 individuals,  $N = 155$ ) (Mann-Whitney U test:  $z = -0.381$ ,  $P = 0.703$ ). However, the proportions of different party types did vary between the 4 crab-fishing sites and 8 control sites (Chi-square test:  $\chi^2 = 17.436$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , Fig. 5). Specifically, crab-fishing parties contained mother-offspring pair(s) more often than at control sites (adj. res. = 2.8,  $P < 0.01$ ), and were all-male less often than at control sites (adj. res. = 3.6,  $P < 0.001$ ). In keeping with those findings, the sex ratio of crab-fishing parties was significantly lower than the sex ratio of control parties (i.e. crab-fishing parties had fewer males per female) (0.23 vs. 0.39; Mann-Whitney U test:  $z = -3.762$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ).

### *3.4. Which parties spend most time crab-fishing?*

The overall mean visit duration at crab-fishing sites was 20.2 min (range: 1 - 166, SEM = 2.3). The mean visit duration for different party types (female(s) only: 6.3 min, female(s) and offspring: 26.9 min, male(s) only: 16.2 min, mixed: 14.9 min) did not differ significantly (Kruskal-Wallis Test:  $N_1 = 20$ ,  $N_2 = 92$ ,  $N_3 = 12$ ,  $N_4 = 53$ ,  $H = 5.387$ ,  $P = 0.146$ ). However, when we compared time spent crab-fishing (% parties) by parties composed solely of female(s) and offspring to the time spent crab-fishing by other party types, we found a significant difference (Chi-square test:  $\chi^2 = 12.524$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ,

Fig. 6). Female(s) and their offspring more often spent over an hour crab-fishing, compared to other party types (adj. res. = 3.5,  $P = 0.001$ ).

### 3.5. Which chimpanzee age/sex classes fish for crabs?

The age/sex classes (i.e. adult males, adult females, adolescents, juveniles) differed significantly in their crab-fishing participation (i.e. % individuals crab-fishing) when in crab-fishing parties (Kruskal-Wallis test:  $N_{\text{parties with adult male(s)}} = 57$ ,  $N_{\text{parties with adult female(s)}} = 158$ ,  $N_{\text{parties with adolescent(s)}} = 67$ ,  $N_{\text{parties with juvenile(s)}} = 129$ ,  $H = 28.201$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ). First, adult males were less likely than adult females or juveniles to fish for crabs (mean: 57% adult male participation vs. 75% adult female participation, Mann-Whitney U test:  $z = 3.359$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ; mean: 57% adult male participation vs. 87% juvenile participation,  $z = 5.411$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Second, adult females were less likely to fish for crabs than juveniles (mean: 75% adult female participation vs. 87% juvenile participation,  $z = 2.775$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ). Adolescents (mean: 72% participation) did not differ significantly from other age/sex classes.

### 3.6. Do crab catch rates differ across chimpanzee age/sex classes, seasons and sites?

We determined catch rates for 145 fishing sessions in 94 crab-fish parties. The mean catch rate was 1.71 catches per minute of crab-fishing (range: 0 – 9, Median = 1.5). Adult males (mean = 1.60), adult females (mean = 1.56), adolescents (mean = 2.18) and juveniles (mean = 1.83) did not differ significantly in their catch rates (Kruskal-Wallis test:  $N_{\text{adult females}} = 58$ ,  $N_{\text{adult males}} = 37$ ,  $N_{\text{adolescents}} = 19$ ,  $N_{\text{juveniles}} = 31$ ,  $H = 4.616$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $P = 0.202$ ). There was no difference in catch rates between the dry and the wet season (Mann-Whitney U test:  $N_{\text{dry}} = 62$ ,  $N_{\text{wet}} = 83$ ,  $z = -0.315$ ,  $P = 0.753$ ). There was a difference in catch rates across Sites 1, 2 and 3 (Kruskal-Wallis test:  $N_{\text{Site1}} = 60$ ,  $N_{\text{Site2}}$



= 44,  $N_{\text{Site3}} = 40$ ,  $H = 8.214$ ,  $df = 2$ ,  $P = 0.016$ ), with catch rates being higher at Site 2 compared to Site 1 (Mann-Whitney U test:  $z = 2.421$ ,  $P = 0.015$ ).

### 3.7. Crab availability

The mean crab availability was 3.2 crabs/m<sup>2</sup> (Site 1 = 1.8, Site 2 = 9.6, Site 3 = 0.4, Site 4 = 1.0). The majority of crabs belonged to the small size class ( $N = 48$ , 75%), followed by the medium size class ( $N = 12$ , 19%) and the large size class ( $N = 4$ , 6%).

### 3.8. Nutritional value of crabs

We analyzed the energy content and nutrient concentrations for crabs (Table 2), and compared these values to published nutrient concentrations of army ants eaten by chimpanzees in Cameroon (Deblauwe and Janssens, 2008). Gross energy of large crabs was similar to army ants. However, the analysis of army ant gross energy was reported on fresh matter basis (Deblauwe and Janssens, 2008), which includes moisture, and thus is not directly comparable to crab gross energy that is presented on a dry matter basis. Crabs stood out in terms of high concentrations of calcium and low concentrations of iron and zinc as compared to army ants.

## 4. Discussion

This study describes a newly discovered chimpanzee behavior termed crab-fishing, which constitutes the first evidence of chimpanzee aquatic faunivory. Chimpanzees in the Nimba Mountains fished for crabs year-round, irrespective of rainfall or availability of ripe fruit. Crab-fishing was negatively correlated with ant-dipping. Moreover, sex and age classes differed in their reliance on this aquatic resource. Females and dependent offspring fished for crabs more than expected, whereas parties with only

males did so less than expected, based on comparisons between four crab-fishing and eight control sites. Females and dependent offspring also fished for the longest durations. Among individuals in a crab-fishing party, immature individuals were the most likely to fish for crabs, whereas adult males were the least likely to do so. We use these findings to address three gaps in our current knowledge regarding aquatic faunivory in our hominin ancestors, i.e. environmental context, demography, and dietary function.

#### *4.1. Crab-fishing a recent innovation?*

We discovered crab-fishing in 2012, despite the chimpanzees at the Seringbara study site being intensively studied since 2003. Chimpanzee rates of visiting crab-fishing sites doubled in the second monitoring year compared to the first. Why crab-fishing was not observed until 2012 is unclear. It could have occurred undetected, or it might have been absent.

It would be surprising if, for nine years prior to 2012, crab-fishing had occurred without researchers detecting it. Search efforts for chimpanzee traces at the study site were extremely thorough, with two to four teams in the forest on most days. The research team was expert at finding chimpanzee traces, so it is unlikely that they would have missed the obvious disturbance left behind after chimpanzees crab-fish. Furthermore, the crab-fishing sites were located in a heavily surveyed area, close to our base camp.

Therefore, it is possible that crab-fishing may be a recent innovation in the chimpanzees of the Nimba Mountains, begun only shortly before we discovered it. If so, it could have been introduced by an immigrant female, or it could have been invented. An introduction by an immigrant female could help explain the limited

participation in crab-fishing by adult males in Nimba (see below), which mirrors observations in the Kasekela community in Gombe (Tanzania) where adult males did not engage in ant-fishing after the introduction of the behavior by an immigrant female (O'Malley et al., 2012). Future research may shed light on this problem by showing whether crab-fishing spreads further in Nimba, much as moss-sponging has been shown to spread among Budongo chimpanzees (Hobaiter et al., 2014).

#### *4.2. Environmental context*

Evidence for the consumption of aquatic fauna by hominins has come mostly from lakeshore or marine habitats (e.g. Braun et al., 2010; Archer et al., 2014). This pattern of archaeological finds could be linked to fossils being preserved and recovered better in wetlands than forests. The four crab-fishing sites that we studied in the Nimba Mountains were all in primary riverine forest, characterized by shallow permanent streams with sandy, rocky soil, and some larger boulders (see SOM Videos 1, 2, 3). Our data thus show that aquatic fauna can be regularly exploited in a rainforest habitat with only small streams.

Seasonality has been proposed to have played an important role in the use of aquatic resources by hominins (Stewart, 2010), with intensified use of wetlands and consumption of aquatic fauna by hominins being predicted during dry periods when dry-habitat foods are scarce (Stewart, 2010; Archer et al., 2014). During our study, chimpanzees fished for crabs during all 25 observation months. Surprisingly, there was no relationship between crab-fishing and monthly rainfall. Nor was there a difference in crab catch rates between the dry and the wet season: even in the dry season, the streams held enough water to provide suitable living conditions for freshwater crabs. In sum, aquatic fauna was not a seasonal food source for chimpanzees, but a year-round

part of their diet.

### 4.3. Demography

The chimpanzees' reliance on crab-fishing varied among age-sex classes. Parties consisting of adult female chimpanzees and their dependent offspring fished for crabs more often than other party types, and male-only parties were the least likely to fish for crabs. Females and youngsters also fished for crabs for the longest durations, regularly exceeding an hour of foraging time. Moreover, juvenile visitors were the most likely to fish for crabs, whereas adult male visitors were the least likely to do so. Hence, it seems that the dietary importance of this aquatic resource differs according to an individual's sex and age. We note that a shortcoming of camera-trap studies is that some individuals are likely to be missed and camera trap party size should thus be considered a minimum estimate of true party size (McCarthy et al., 2018). Moreover, our study had only eight control sites, yielding 155 parties used for assessing average party composition. A more reliable assessment of age and sex class differences in crab-fishing will be possible with direct observations of habituated study subjects.

In addition to possibly providing unusual nutritional benefits (see below), crab-fishing may be particularly well suited to females with dependent offspring because it is less risky than some other methods of eating animals. Although large crabs can inflict painful pinches with their powerful claws (Koops, personal observation), the majority of crabs (75%) found in Nimba posed little danger to chimpanzees because they were small (<1cm CL). Hence, mothers with infants could let their youngsters practice fishing for abundant small crabs without risk. In this respect, crab-fishing contrasts with the high-risk activity of foraging on aggressive army ants, a highly gregarious and mobile prey that can inflict numerous painful bites on chimpanzees (Humble et al.,

2009). Thus, the benefits of freshwater crabs as a food source for females with immature offspring may include both nutritional and behavioral components.

#### 4.4. Nutrition

Previous reports of non-human primate crab consumption included tarsiers (*Tarsius bancanus*, *T. syrichta*), capuchin monkeys (*Cebus apella*, *C. libidinosus*), squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri sciureus*), vervet monkeys (*Cercopithecus aethiops*), macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*, *M. fuscata*, *M. siberu*) and baboons (*Papio anubis*, *P. cynocephalus*, *P. ursinus*), as reviewed in Russon et al. (2014).

Nimba chimpanzees fished for crabs irrespective of how much ripe fruit was present. A multi-year follow-up study of crab-fishing is needed to confirm whether this finding holds across subsequent years. Long-tailed macaques (*M. fascicularis*), have been found to rely more on aquatic fauna when ripe fruit is less abundant (Yeager, 1996; Malaivijitnond et al., 2007). Our findings suggest that, for chimpanzees in the Nimba Mountains, crabs may be an important year-round food source, possibly acting as a protein, lipid or mineral supplement to their fruit-based diet. We acknowledge that availability of crabs may vary across the year (e.g. dry vs. wet season), and that nutritional content may also vary across the year and between crabs of different size classes. To address these potential variations, additional year-round data collection is required.

Our results showed that chimpanzees fished more for crabs when they foraged less for army ants. Army ant consumption at Nimba is largely opportunistic (Koops et al., 2015), whereas crabs appear to be permanently available, so crab-eating plausibly increases in response to low ant availability. Nutritional analyses revealed that gross energy and sodium values in (large) crabs were similar to those in army ants. In

addition, calcium concentrations for (large) crabs were higher than for army ants, whereas iron and zinc concentrations were lower. We did not analyze mineral concentrations of small crabs as our sample mass was too small. However, it is reasonable to assume that the ratio of carapace to body is similar for large and small crabs, because the percentage of ADF was similar across size classes (Table 2) and ADF is considered an approximate measure of the chitin in carapace.

Why do Nimba chimpanzees eat crabs? One hypothesis is that crabs may be a reliable year-round available source of sodium, which can be a limiting resource for primates living in the tropics (e.g. Rode et al., 2003; Rothman et al., 2006). At many study sites apes seek out and consume unusual sodium sources such as decaying wood (Rothman et al. 2006; Venable et al., in prep.), rotting piths (Reynolds et al. 2009), exotically introduced *Eucalyptus* bark (Rothman and Bryer, 2019), and swamp foods (Magliocca and Gautier Hion, 2002) to meet sodium needs. It is possible that these crabs act as mineral supplements similar to these plant-based foods, or the insects that many primates consume (Rothman et al., 2014). Moreover, female chimpanzees may need more salt than males, since females generally have less access to meat (Fahy et al., 2013; Gilby et al., 2017). The need for salt could also explain the previously reported female-bias in insectivory (McGrew, 1979; Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, 1989). Moreover, at Kanyawara (Uganda), chimpanzees ingest wood to obtain salt, a behavior which is also female-biased (Venable et al., in prep.). Similarly, crab-fishing is biased towards females and their offspring, which may in turn be due to a potentially reduced access to salt through meat-eating for female chimpanzees and immatures.

A second hypothesis is that crabs are a source of calcium. Calcium is essential for both pregnant females and fast-growing immatures (human studies: Johnston et al., 1992; Mosha et al., 2016). The calcium concentrations of crabs are particularly high

per unit weight (Table 2), therefore possibly serving as a source of calcium for pregnant and nursing females and their dependent offspring. Calcium concentrations are higher in the carapace of crabs compared to their internal organs (Bilgin and Fidanbaş, 2011), which would make eating entire crabs nutritionally beneficial in terms of calcium. The actual digestibility of chitin for chimpanzees is as yet unknown (O'Malley and Power, 2012), but while research has shown that the human gastrointestinal tract contains chitinase (Paoletti et al., 2007), this does not mean that chimpanzees can digest chitin (Janiak, 2016). It is noteworthy, however, that there were no crab carapace remains found in chimpanzee feces in this study.

A third hypothesis is that crabs may be an important source of PUFAs such as DHA. The DHA concentrations of freshwater crab species obtained from the literature are high (Wan Rosli et al., 2012; Das et al., 2015; Islam et al., 2017), and may be indicative of high DHA concentrations in African freshwater crabs. DHA is considered an essential nutritional compound for pregnant and nursing mothers and young children because it is required for proper brain development and function (Birch et al., 2000; Das et al., 2015). Against this, the total amounts of PUFA ingested by chimpanzees from crab-fishing are unknown, and are probably small. Furthermore, some authors challenge the importance of dietary DHA, since conversion of alpha-linolenic acid, available in a variety of terrestrial resources, into DHA could potentially be sufficient for normal brain development (Carlson and Kingston, 2007). Hence, while freshwater crabs may provide an important source of DHA for pregnant or nursing female chimpanzees and immature offspring, future research on the nutrients in African freshwater crabs in comparison to other components of the chimpanzees' diet is needed to distinguish between these three hypotheses.

#### 4.5. Significance of crabs for chimpanzees

Whether or not crab-fishing by chimpanzees is a recent innovation at Seringbara, it appears to be a rare behavior given that it has not yet been observed at other chimpanzee study sites. Freshwater crabs are widespread and abundant in West African forests (Cumberlidge, 1999), which makes the absence of crab-fishing at other chimpanzee study sites puzzling. One possibility is that crabs are not easily obtained at other sites, because streams are perhaps more shallow at Nimba. However, evidence of crab consumption by baboons at Gashaka (Nigeria), in a forest where chimpanzees also occur, suggests otherwise (Sommer et al., 2016). Another possibility is that meat-eating is limited at Seringbara (i.e. observations of chimpanzees hunting squirrels only), since all monkey species present in the Nimba Mountains are under severe pressure from hunting by humans (Koops, personal observation), potentially leading to a more important role of invertebrates in the diet. Army ants are indeed an important year-round part of the Nimba chimpanzee diet, with particularly high proportions of fecal samples containing army ant remains (36%) compared to other sites (Koops et al., 2013). Crab-fishing might thus provide nutrients that in other populations are provided more often by vertebrate prey.

#### 4.6. Pathogen risk associated with crab consumption

The two large species of freshwater crabs that are consumed by chimpanzees in the Nimba region (*Liberonautes latidactylus* and *L. rubigimanus*) are also commonly eaten by humans (Cumberlidge and Huguet, 2003). The former species is a known second intermediate host of *Paragonimus uterobilateralis* in this part of West Africa (Sachs and Cumberlidge, 1990). The crabs carry an infective stage (metacercaria) of the parasite (Voelker, 1973; Sachs and Voelker, 1982; Monson et al., 1983; Sachs et al.,



1986). As a result, human lung fluke disease (paragonimiasis) is endemic to many areas, including Guinea, Liberia, and Ivory Coast (Sachs and Cumberlidge, 1988).

A number of African non-human primates, i.e. drills (*Mandrillus leucophaeus*), red-capped mangabeys (*Cercocebus torquatus*), and pottos (*Perodicticus potto*), also eat freshwater crabs. Some of these primate species have confirmed lung fluke infections (Voelker and Vogel, 1965; Sachs and Voelker, 1975; Voelker and Sachs, 1977; Friant et al., 2015). The crabs, being second intermediate hosts of *Paragonimus*, harbor the only larval stage of the parasite capable of infecting the definitive host (Voelker et al., 1975). The Nimba chimpanzees that consume freshwater crabs are thus also at risk of contracting lung fluke disease. The presence of the parasite can be assessed by examining fecal samples for *Paragonimus* eggs, which are identifiable by morphology and molecular analyses (Friant et al., 2015).

#### 4.7. Implications for hominin aquatic faunivory

Our findings suggest three points for the interpretation of the consumption of aquatic fauna by hominins. First, the fact that forest-living chimpanzees, can fish for crabs means that systematic reliance on aquatic faunivory is not restricted to lakeshore, river margin, and coastal ecotones, as has been generally assumed (e.g. Erlandson, 2010; Shabel, 2010). Possibly aquatic fauna were exploited by hominins living in a closed, forested environment, such as *Ardipithecus* spp. and some populations of early *Australopithecus* spp. (White et al., 2009; cf. Cerling et al., 2015).

Second, crab-fishing by chimpanzees in Nimba was not linked to fruit availability. This indicates that the importance of aquatic faunivory for hominins would not necessarily have varied seasonally.

Third, crab-fishing was disproportionately performed by adult females and their dependent offspring, whereas adult males participated the least. Possibly aquatic faunivory in hominins may have been similarly biased towards females and immatures. This hypothesis can be explored by understanding the factors promoting increased crab-fishing by females and young.

## **5. Conclusions**

We found that apes living in a rainforest can exploit aquatic fauna, which implies that forest-living hominins could have done likewise in similarly forested environments. Our evidence suggested that mothers and juveniles ate crabs more than adult males did. Why crab-fishing was sex-biased is an important question that could help understand sex and age differences in other foraging strategies.

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank the Direction General de la Recherche Scientifique et l'innovation Technologique (DGERST) and the Institut de Recherche Environnementale de Bossou (IREB) for research authorization; Seringbara guides, K. Doré, F. Doré, F. Zogbila, N. Doré, D. Zogbila, Y. Zogbila, C. Samy, and N. Gbouomy; research assistants, M. Reilly, P. Le Sommer, W. Edwards, J. Caraway, D. Montanari, N. James, I. Vélez del Burgo Guinea, and D. Hassler, for help in the field. We thank J. Paltan for assistance with nutritional analysis. We thank C. Shaw, M. Plavcan, W. McGrew and three anonymous reviewers for helpful and insightful comments on the manuscript. Research was supported by grants from the Lucie Burgers Foundation for Comparative Behaviour Research (Arnhem, Netherlands), Homerton College (Cambridge, UK), Newnham College (Cambridge, UK) and Claraz Stiftung (Zurich, Switzerland) to KK,

623 Hunter College (New York City) to JMER, and by MEXT (#12002009, #16002001,  
624 #20002001, #24000001, #16H06283) grants to TM.

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** Map of study site with crab-fishing sites (N = 4, crab symbols) and control sites (N = 8, red circles).

**Figure 2.** Still images from motion-triggered camera video of an adolescent male chimpanzee crab-fishing (Suppl. Video 2): searching (a), and eating (b) crab.

**Figure 3.** Remains of large crabs discovered on 4<sup>th</sup> of August 2012 (a) *Liberonautes latidactylus*, and on 18<sup>th</sup> of May 2013 (b) *L. rubigimanus*.

**Figure 4.** Chimpanzee crab-fishing site visiting rates (red line) and rainfall (a), fruit availability (b), and ant-dipping (c). Numbers above ant-dipping bars indicate the number of fecal samples collected per month.

**Figure 5.** Proportion of parties belonging to different party types for crab-fishing parties (CF, grey) and non-crab-fishing parties at control sites (Non-CF, white).

**Figure 6.** Proportion of crab-fishing parties (female(s) and offspring vs. other parties) fishing for <1 min, 1 – 10 min, 10 min – 1 hr, and >1 hr.

## REFERENCES

- Amster, G., Sella, G., 2016. Life history effect on the molecular clock of autosomes and sex chromosomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 113, 1588-1593.
- Archer, W., Braun, D.R., Harris, J.W.K., McCoy, J.T., Richmond, B.G., 2014. Early Pleistocene aquatic resource use in the Turkana Basin. *Journal of Human Evolution* 77, 74-87.
- Bilgin, Ş., Fidanbaş, Z.U.C., 2011. Nutritional properties of crab (*Potamon potamios* Olivier, 1804) in the lake of Eğirdir (Turkey) *Pakistan Veterinary Journal* 31, 239-243.
- Birch, E.E., Garfield, S., Hoffman, D.E., Hoffman, D.R., Uauy, R., Birch, D.G., 2000. A randomised trial of early dietary supply of long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and mental development in term infants. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology* 42, 174-181.
- Boesch, C., Kalan, A.K., Agbor, A., Arandjelovic, M., Dieguez, P., Lapeyre, V., Köhl, H.S., 2017. Chimpanzees routinely fish for algae with tools during the dry season in Bakoun, Guinea. *American Journal of Primatology* 79, e22613.
- Braun, D.R., Harris, J.W.K., Levin, N.E., McCoy, J.T., Herries, A.I.R., Bamford, M.K., Bishop, L.C., Richmond, B.G., Kibunjia, M., 2010. Early hominin diet included diverse terrestrial and aquatic animals 1.95 Ma in East Turkana, Kenya. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 107, 10002–10007.
- Bryer, M.A., Chapman, C.A., Raubenheimer, D., Lambert, J.E., Rothman, J.M., 2015. Macronutrient and energy contributions of insects to the diet of a frugivorous monkey (*Cercopithecus ascanius*). *International Journal of Primatology* 36, 839-854.

670 Carlson, B.A., Kingston, J.D., 2007. Docosahexaenoic acid, the aquatic diet, and  
 671 hominin encephalization: difficulties in establishing evolutionary links.  
 672 American Journal of Human Biology 19, 132-141.

673 Cerling, T.E., Brown, F.H., Wynn, J.G., 2015. On the environment of Aramis:  
 674 Concerning comments and replies of August 2014. Current Anthropology 56,  
 675 445-446.

676 Chapman, C.A., Wrangham, R.W., Chapman, L.J., 1994. Indices of habitat-wide fruit  
 677 abundance in tropical forests. Biotropica 26, 160-171.

678 Cumberlidge, N., 1999. The freshwater crabs of West Africa, Family Potamonautidae  
 679 Faune et Flore Tropicales 35, IRD Paris.

680 Cumberlidge, N., Huguet, D., 2003. Les Crustacés Décapodes du Nimba et sa région.  
 681 Memoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris 190, 211-229.

682 Cumberlidge, N., Sachs, R., 1989. Three new subspecies of the West African fresh-  
 683 water crab *Liberonautes latidactylus* (De Man, 1903) from Liberia, with notes  
 684 on their ecology. Zeitschrift für Angewandte Zoologie 76, 425-439.

685 Das, M., Kundu, J.K., Misra, K.K., 2015. Nutritional aspect of crustaceans especially  
 686 freshwater crabs of India. International Journal of Advanced Research in  
 687 Biological Sciences 2, 7-19.

688 De Man, J.G., 1903. On *Potamon (Potamonautes) latidactylus*, a new fresh-water crab  
 689 from Upper Guinea. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1, 41-47.

690 Deblauwe, I., Janssens, G.P.J., 2008. New insights in insect prey choice by  
 691 chimpanzees and gorillas in Southeast Cameroon: the role of nutritional value.  
 692 American Journal of Physical Anthropology 135, 42-55.

693 Després-Einspenner, M., Howe, E.J., Drapeau, P., Kühl, H.S., 2017. An empirical  
 694 evaluation of camera trapping and spatially explicit capture-recapture models for  
 695 estimating chimpanzee density. *American Journal of Primatology* 79, e22647.  
 696 Devos, C., Gatti, S., Levreo, F., 2002. New record of algae feeding and scooping by  
 697 *Pan t. troglodytes* at Lokoue Bai in Odazala National Park, Republic of Congo.  
 698 *Pan Africa News* 9, 19-21.  
 699 Erlandson, J.M., 2010. Food for thought: the role of coastlines and aquatic resources in  
 700 human evolution, in: Cunnane, S.C., Stewart, K.M. (Eds.), *Human Brain*  
 701 *Evolution. The Influence of Freshwater and Marine Food Resources*. John Wiley  
 702 & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, pp. 125-136.  
 703 Fahy, G.E., Richards, M., Riedel, J., Hublin, J., Boesch, C., 2013. Stable isotope  
 704 evidence of meat eating and hunting specialization in adult male chimpanzees.  
 705 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 5829-5833.  
 706 Field, A., 2013. *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics*, 4th ed. SAGE  
 707 Publications, London.  
 708 Finke, M.D., 2002. Complete nutrient composition of commercially raised  
 709 invertebrates used as food for insectivores. *Zoo Biology* 21, 269-285.  
 710 Friant, S., Brown, K., Saari, M.T., Segel, N.H., Slezak, J., Goldberg, T.L., 2015. Lung  
 711 fluke (*Paragonimus africanus*) infects Nigerian red-capped mangabeys and  
 712 causes respiratory disease. *International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and*  
 713 *Wildlife* 4, 329–332.  
 714 Gilby, I.C., Machanda, Z.P., O'Malley, R.C., Murray, C.M., Lonsdorf, E.V., Walker,  
 715 K., Mjungu, D.C., Otali, E., Muller, M.N., Emery-Thompson, M., Pusey, A.E.,  
 716 Wrangham, R.W., 2017. Predation by female chimpanzees: towards an

717 understanding of sex differences in meat acquisition in the last common ancestor  
718 of *Pan* and *Homo*. *Journal of Human Evolution* 110, 82-94.

719 Goering, H.K., Van Soest, P.J., 1970. Forage fiber analysis, United States Department  
720 of Agriculture.

721 Gumert, M.D., Malaivijitnond, S., 2012. Marine prey processed with stone tools by  
722 Burmese long-tailed macaques (*Macaca fascicularis aurea*) in intertidal  
723 habitats. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 149, 447-457.

724 Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, M., 1989. Sex differences in the behavioral development of  
725 chimpanzees at Mahale, in: Heltne, P.G., Marquardt, L.A. (Eds.), *Understanding*  
726 *Chimpanzees*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, pp. 104-111.

727 Hobaiter, C., Poisot, T., Zuberbühler, K., Hoppitt, W., Gruber, T., 2014. Social network  
728 analysis shows direct evidence for social transmission of tool use in wild  
729 chimpanzees. *PLoS Biology* 12, e1001960.

730 Hochberg, Y., 1988. A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance.  
731 *Biometrika* 75, 800-802.

732 Hockings, K.J., Anderson, J.R., Matsuzawa, T., 2010. Flexible feeding on cultivated  
733 underground storage organs by rainforest-dwelling chimpanzees at Bossou,  
734 West Africa. *Journal of Human Evolution* 58, 227-233.

735 Humle, T., Matsuzawa, T., 2001. Behavioural diversity among the wild chimpanzee  
736 populations of Bossou and neighbouring areas, Guinea and Cote d'Ivoire, West  
737 Africa. *Folia Primatologica* 72, 57-68.

738 Humle, T., Snowdon, C.T., Matsuzawa, T., 2009. Social influences on ant-dipping  
739 acquisition in the wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) of Bossou, Guinea,  
740 West Africa. *Animal Cognition* 12, 37-48.



741 Isbell, L.A., Rothman, J.M., Young, P., Rudolf, K., 2013. Nutritional benefits of  
 742 *Crematogaster mimosae* ants and *Acacia drepanolobium* gum for patas monkeys  
 743 and vervets in Laikipia, Kenya. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*,  
 744 286-300.

745 Islam, B., Sarkar, M., Rahman, R., Khan, M., Afroze, M., Hasan, A., Hosen, J., Sarkar,  
 746 S.U., 2017. Fatty acid profile of freshwater crab (*Paratelphusa lamellifrons*)  
 747 from Padma River of Rajshahi City, Bangladesh. *Journal of Nutrition and Food*  
 748 *Sciences* 7, 1000641.

749 Janiak, M.C. 2016. Digestive enzymes of human and nonhuman primates. *Evolutionary*  
 750 *Anthropology* 25, 253-266.

751 Johnston, C.C., Miller, J.Z., Slemenda, C.W., Reister, T.K., Hui, S., Christian, J.C.,  
 752 Peacock, M., 1992. Calcium supplementation and increases in bone mineral  
 753 density in children. *New England Journal of Medicine* 327, 82-87.

754 Joordens, J.C.A., Kuipers, R.S., Wanink, J.H., Muskiet, F.A.J., 2014. A fish is not a  
 755 fish: patterns in fatty acid composition of aquatic food may have had  
 756 implications for hominin evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution* 77, 107-116.

757 Kempf, E., 2009. Patterns of water use in primates. *Folia Primatologica* 80, 275-294.

758 Koops, K., 2011. Chimpanzees in the Seringbara region of the Nimba Mountains, in:  
 759 Matsuzawa, T., Humle, T., Sugiyama, Y. (Eds.), *The Chimpanzees of Bossou*  
 760 *and Nimba*. Springer, Tokyo, pp. 277-287.

761 Koops, K., McGrew, W.C., Matsuzawa, T., 2013. Ecology of culture: do environmental  
 762 factors influence foraging tool use in wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*)?  
 763 *Animal Behaviour* 85, 175-185.

764 Koops, K., McGrew, W.C., Matsuzawa, T., Knapp, L.A., 2012. Terrestrial nest-  
 765 building by wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*): implications for the tree-to-

766 ground sleep transition in early hominins. American Journal of Physical  
767 Anthropology 148, 351-361.

768 Koops, K., Schöning, C., McGrew, W.C., Matsuzawa, T., 2015. Chimpanzees prey on  
769 army ants at Seringbara, Nimba Mountains, Guinea: predation patterns and tool  
770 characteristics. American Journal of Primatology 77, 319-329.

771 Langergraber, K., Prüfer, K., Rowney, C., Boesch, C., Crockford, C., Fawcett, K.,  
772 Inoue, E., Inoue-Muruyama, M., Mitani, J.C., Muller, M.N., Robbins, M.M.,  
773 Schubert, G., Stoinski, T.S., Viola, B., Watts, D.P., Wittig, R.M., Wrangham,  
774 R.W., Pääbo, S., Vigilant, L., 2012. Generation time in wild chimpanzees and  
775 gorillas suggest earlier divergence times in great apes and human evolution.  
776 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 109, 15716-15721.

777 Lovejoy, C.O., Suwa, G., Simpson, S.W., Matternes, J.H., White, T.D., 2009. The great  
778 divides: *Ardipithecus ramidus* reveals the postcrania of our last common  
779 ancestors with African apes. Science 326, 100-106.

780 Magliocca, F., and Gautier-Hion, A., 2002. Mineral content as a basis for food selection  
781 by western lowland gorillas in a forest clearing. American Journal of  
782 Primatology, 57, 67-77.

783 Malaivijitnond, S., Lekprayoon, C., Tandavanittj, N., Panha, S., Cheewatham, C.,  
784 Hamada, Y., 2007. Stone-tool usage by Thai long-tailed macaques (*Macaca*  
785 *fascicularis*). American Journal of Primatology 69, 227-233.

786 Matsuzawa, T., Humle, T., Sugiyama, Y., 2011. The Chimpanzees of Bossou and  
787 Nimba, in: Matsuzawa, M., Yamagiwa, J. (Eds.). Springer, Tokyo.

788 Matsuzawa, T., Yamakoshi, G., 1996. Comparison of chimpanzee material culture  
789 between Bossou and Nimba, West Africa, in: Russon, A.E., Bard, K.A., Parker,

790 S.T. (Eds.), *Reaching into Thought: the Minds of the Great Apes*. Cambridge  
791 University Press, Cambridge, pp. 211-232.

792 Matsuzawa, T., Yamakoshi, G., Humle, T., 1996. A newly found tool use by wild  
793 chimpanzees: algae scooping. *Primate Research* 12, 283.

794 McCarthy, M.S., Després-Einspenner, M., Samuni, L., Mundry, R., Lemoine, S., Preis,  
795 A., Wittig, R.M., Boesch, C., Köhl, H.S., 2018. An assessment of the efficacy of  
796 camera traps for studying demographic composition and variation in  
797 chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *American Journal of Primatology*, e22904.

798 McGrew, W.C., 1979. Evolutionary implications of sex differences in chimpanzee  
799 predation and tool use, in: Hamburg, D.A., McCown, E.R. (Eds.), *The Great*  
800 *Apes*. Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, pp. 440-463.

801 McGrew, W.C., Marchant, L., Phillips, C., 2009. Standardised protocol for primate  
802 faecal analysis. *Primates* 50, 363-366.

803 Monson, M.H., Koenig, J.W., Sachs, A., 1983. Successful treatment with praziquantel  
804 of six patients infected with the African lung fluke, *Paragonimus*  
805 *uterobilateralis*. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 32, 371–  
806 375.

807 Mosha, D., Liu, E., Hertzmark, E., Chan, G., Sudfeld, C., Masanja, H., Fawzi, W., 2016.  
808 Dietary iron and calcium intakes during pregnancy are associated with lower risk  
809 of prematurity, stillbirth and neonatal mortality among women in Tanzania.  
810 *Public Health Nutrition* 20, 678-686.

811 Nishida, T., 1980. Local differences in response to water among wild chimpanzees.  
812 *Folia Primatologica* 33, 189-209.

813 O'Malley, R.C., Power, M.L., 2012. Nutritional composition of actual and potential  
814 insect prey for the Kasekela chimpanzees of Gombe National Park, Tanzania.  
815 American Journal of Physical Anthropology 149, 493-503.

816 O'Malley, R.C., Wallauer, W., Murray, C.M., Goodall, J., 2012. The appearance and  
817 spread of ant fishing among the Kasekela chimpanzees of Gombe. Current  
818 Anthropology 53, 650-663.

819 Paoletti, M.G., Norberto, L., Damini, R., Musumeci, S., 2007. Human gastric juice  
820 contains chitinase that can degrade chitin. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism  
821 51, 244-251.

822 Pilbeam, D.R., Lieberman, D.E., 2017. Reconstructing the last common ancestor of  
823 chimpanzees and humans, in: Muller, M.N., Wrangham, R.W., Pilbeam, D.R.  
824 (Eds.), Chimpanzees and Human Evolution. The Belknap Press of Harvard  
825 University, Cambridge, MA, pp. 22-141.

826 Reynolds, V., Lloyd, A., Babweteera, F., English, C.J., 2009. Decaying *Raphia*  
827 *farinifera* palm trees provide a source of sodium for wild chimpanzees in the  
828 Budongo Forest, Uganda. PloS One 4, e6194.

829 Robson, S.L., Wood, B., 2008. Hominin life history: reconstruction and evolution.  
830 Journal of Anatomy 212, 394-425.

831 Rode, K.D., Chapman, C.A., Chapman, L.J., McDowell, L.R., 2003. Mineral resource  
832 availability and consumption by colobus in Kibale National Park, Uganda.  
833 International Journal of Primatology 24, 541-573.

834 Rothman, J.M., Bryer, M.A., 2019. The effects of humans on the primate nutritional  
835 landscape. Primate Research and Conservation in the Anthropocene, 82, 199-  
836 215.

837 Rothman, J.M., Raubenheimer, D., Bryer, M.A., Takahashi, M., Gilbert, C.C., 2014.  
838 Nutritional contributions of insects to primate diets: implications for primate  
839 evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 71, 59-69.

840 Rothman, J.M., Chapman, C.A., Van Soest, P.J., 2012. Methods in primate nutritional  
841 ecology: a user's guide. *International Journal of Primatology* 33, 542-566.

842 Rothman, J.M., Van Soest, P.J., Pell, A.N., 2006. Decaying wood is a sodium source  
843 for mountain gorillas. *Biology Letters* 2, 321-324.

844 Russon, A.E., Compost, A., Kuncoro, P., Ferisa, A., 2014. Orangutan fish eating,  
845 primate aquatic fauna eating, and their implications for the origins of ancestral  
846 hominin fish eating. *Journal of Human Evolution* 77, 50-63.

847 Sachs, R., Albiez, E.J., Voelker, J., 1986. Prevalence of *Paragonimus uterobilateralis*  
848 infection in children in a Liberian village. *Transactions of the Royal Society for*  
849 *Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 80, 800-801.

850 Sachs, R., Cumberlidge, N., 1988. A focus of paragonimiasis in Nimba county, Liberia.  
851 *Journal of the Liberian Medical and Dental Association* 17, 29-40.

852 Sachs, R., Cumberlidge, N., 1990. Distribution of metacercariae in freshwater crabs in  
853 relation to *Paragonimus* infection of children in Liberia. *Annals of Tropical*  
854 *Medicine and Parasitology* 84, 277-280.

855 Sachs, R., Voelker, J., 1975. A primate, *Mandrillus leucophaeus*, as natural host of the  
856 African lung fluke *Paragonimus africanus* in West-Cameroon. *Tropenmedizin*  
857 *und Parasitologie* 26, 205-206.

858 Sachs, R., Voelker, J., 1982. Human paragonimiasis caused by *Paragonimus*  
859 *uterobilateralis* in Liberia and Guinea. *Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 30,  
860 15-16.

861 Sakamaki, T., 1998. First record of algae-feeding by a female chimpanzee at Mahale.  
862 Pan Africa News 5, 1-3.

863 Shabel, A.B., 2010. Brain size in carnivoran mammals that forage at the land-water  
864 ecotone, with implications for robust australopithecine paleobiology. In:  
865 Cunnane, S.C., Stewart, K.M. (Eds.), Human Brain Evolution. The Influence of  
866 Freshwater and Marine Food Resources. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken,  
867 New Jersey, pp. 173-187.

868 Shimada, M.K., 2000. A survey of the Nimba Mountains, West Africa, from three  
869 routes: confirmed new habitat and ant catching wand use of chimpanzees. Pan  
870 Africa News 7, 7-10.

871 Sommer, V., Lowe, A., Jesus, G., Alberts, N., Bouquet, Y., Inglis, D.M., Petersdorf,  
872 M., van Riel, E., Thompson, J., Ross, C., 2016. Antelope predation by Nigerian  
873 forest baboons: ecological and behavioural correlates. Primates 87, 67-90.

874 Steiper, M.E., Seiffert, E.R., 2012. Evidence for a convergent slowdown in primate  
875 molecular rates and its implications for the timing of early primate evolution.  
876 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 109, 6006-6011.

877 Stewart, K.M., 1994. Early hominid utilisation of fish resources and implications for  
878 seasonality and behaviour. Journal of Human Evolution 27, 229-245.

879 Stewart, K.M., 2010. The case for exploitation of wetlands environments and foods by  
880 pre-*sapiens* hominins. In: Cunnane, S.C., Stewart, K.M. (Eds.), Human Brain  
881 Evolution. The Influence of Freshwater and Marine Resources. John Wiley and  
882 Sons, New York, pp. 137-171.

883 Takemoto, H., 2004. Seasonal change in terrestriality of chimpanzees in relation to  
884 microclimate in the tropical forest. American Journal of Physical Anthropology  
885 124, 81-92.

886 Voelker, J., 1973. Morphologisch-taxionomische Untersuchungen über *Paragonimus*  
887 *uterobilateralis* (Trematoda, Troglotremitidae) sowie Beobachtungen über den  
888 Lebenszyklus und die Verbreitung des Parasiten in Liberia. Zeitschrift für  
889 Tropenmedizin und Parasitologie 24, 4-20.

890 Voelker, J., Sachs, R., 1977. Affen als natürliche und experimentelle Endwirte  
891 afrikanischer Lunenegel (*Paragonimus africanus*, *P. uterobilateralis*).  
892 Tropenmedizin und Parasitologie 28, 137-144.

893 Voelker, J., Sachs, R., Volkmer, X.J., Brabant, H., 1975. Zur Epidemiologie der  
894 Paragonimiasis bei Mensch und Tier in Nigeria, Westafrika. Veterinar-  
895 medizinische Nachrichten (Bayer) 1/2, 158-172.

896 Voelker, J., Vogel, H., 1965. Zwei neue *Paragonimus*-Arten aus West-Africa:  
897 *Paragonimus africanus* und *Paragonimus uterobilateralis* (Troglotremitidae,  
898 Trematoda). Zeitschrift für Tropenmedizin und Parasitologie 16, 125-147.

899 Wan Rosli, W.I., Rohana, A.J., Gan, S.H., Noor Fadzlin, H., Rosliza, H., Helmy, H.,  
900 Mohd Nazri, S., Mohd Ismail, I., Shaiful Bahri, I., Wan Mohamad, W.B.,  
901 Kamarul Imran, M., 2012. Fat content and EPA and DHA levels of selected  
902 marine, freshwater fish and shellfish species from the east coast of Peninsular  
903 Malaysia. International Food Research Journal 19, 815-821.

904 White, T., Ambrose, S.H., Suwa, G., Su, D.F., DeGusta, D., Bernor, R.L., Boissarie, J.,  
905 Brunet, M., Delson, E., Frost, S., Garcia, N., Giaourtsakis, I.X., Haile-Selassie,  
906 Y., Howell, F.C., Lehmann, T., Likies, A., Pehlevan, C., Saegusa, H.,  
907 Semprebon, G., Teaford, M., Vrba, E., 2009. Macrovertebrate paleontology and  
908 the Pliocene habitat of *Ardipithecus ramidus*. Science 326, 87-93.

909 World Conservation Monitoring Centre, 1992. Guinea/Côte d'Ivoire-Réserve Naturelle  
 910 Intégrale des Mont Nimba. UNEP-WCMC (World Conservation Monitoring  
 911 Centre) Infobase Report.  
 912 Wrangham, R.W., Conklin-Brittain, N.L., Hunt, K.D., 1998. Dietary response of  
 913 chimpanzees and cercopithecines to seasonal variation in fruit abundance. I.  
 914 antifeedants. International Journal of Primatology 19, 949-970.  
 915 Yeager, C.P., 1996. Feeding ecology of the long-tailed macaque (*Macaca fascicularis*)  
 916 in Kalimantan Tengah, Indonesia. International Journal of Primatology 17, 51-  
 917 62.